

Letters to the Editor ...

Re: Alleged Alien Alloy

Dear Editor: I was struck by comments in the article, "The Harmonic Conquest of Space" by Bruce Cathie in *NEXUS* vol 2#22. It was stated that a space-time vehicle constructed on the principles of harmonics would have to be constructed of an alloy containing the entire range of 144 elements in the theoretical atomic table, and that such an alloy is no doubt a physical impossibility.

I would like to point out that the metal sample of UFO ship skin that was obtained by Eduard Meier in Switzerland in the 1970s was exactly such an alloy. This sample was analyzed by Marcel Vogel of IBM, and contained discrete microscopic crystals of every known element. Each element was identifiable, as opposed to an alloy that we might make by melting the components together, where all elements become mixed and unrecognizable. It was stated that we do not have the technology to fabricate a sample such as this.

As far as I know, the Meier sightings, movies, sound recordings and the sample are legitimate. The sample has disappeared, but the video of the actual analysis remains.

There were three videos: "The Meier Chronicles", "The Movie Footage" and "The Metal" which documented the Meier sightings. At one time, these videos were available from ESPN Home Video, and are also marked INTERCEPT, Drawer JJ, Munds Park, AZ 86017.

Sincerely,

George N., Bolivar, OH, USA.

Re: Gravity Rules KO'd!

Dear Editor: I bring your attention to the observation that the Newtonian definition of gravity as directly proportional to the sum of the masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance, is one of effect and not cause.

The cause of the gravity we experience is the state of structuring of the solar system within the motion of the Milky Way galaxy. Gravity is a product of galaxy formation and ongoing structuring and, therefore, not a universal constant.

This gives rise to the observation that gravity is not uniform over space or time, and that the reason the Moon is moving away from the Earth is that we are in a period of relatively decreasing gravity effect.

This observation has far-reaching ramifications for cosmology, not the least of which is the demise of the Big Bang theory...

This matter has been overlooked by the physics establishment because of the assumption that gravity is simply caused by the sum of the masses, its acceptance of energy as a 'thing-in-itself', and the treatment of the 'forces' of physics as uncaused and disconnected phenomena.

Yours,

Stephen Mooney

Danns Road, Harcourt, Victoria 3453, Australia.

Re: 'ULF War Syndrome'

Dear Duncan: While reading a back issue of *NEXUS* (vol. 2#20), I came across a news clip titled "The Mysterious Taos Hum". It says that Representative Bill Richardson (Dem., NM) has asked the House Select Committee to investigate a noise which causes dizziness, shortness of breath, headaches, anxiety and sleeplessness, and is thought by some to be from a secret defence-related project plaguing various cities in New Mexico.

Well, I believe I know something about that sound, so here is my story.

During the years 1980-83 I was living with the daughter of the now-deceased Dr David Fraser, former head of the Department of Toxicology at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. One day the daughter told me of a frightening encounter her father had with the American military. Later, when Dr Fraser came to stay with us while on vacation in 1981, I asked him to tell me the story firsthand.

In the early 1970s, the small city of Medford, Oregon, became the suicide capital of the United States overnight. (The exact dates can be found by checking national health statistics.) After Dr Fraser was notified of this dramatic anomaly, he organized and sent a team of researchers to look for the

cause. They checked the water, the air, the soil and a variety of additional possibilities, but still could find no reason for the massive increase in suicides. Then they discovered that Ultra Low Frequencies were being beamed into Medford, Oregon, from the 'local' military base. (I never thought to ask for the name.) The researchers then went to that military base and met with its commanding officer. The commanding officer said he knew about the ULF, but his base was not responsible, and that the Russians were! The ULF waves stopped the very next day.

The researchers had not only determined the military base as the source, they also determined that the ULF was coming into the homes of the populace through their television antennas, which created some sort of standing wave resonance within the structure of the home itself. Those people who did not get out of their homes became severely depressed.

As the ULF wave had now stopped, the researchers returned to the University of Chapel Hill to write their report and confer with Dr Fraser. At that time, a number of men appeared who displayed CIA credentials and said the ULF waves beamed into Medford were a "national security" matter. They went on to threaten explicitly to kill each of the researchers, including Dr David Fraser himself, should anyone speak further about it.

As a postscript, I have often wondered how many times researchers have stumbled over the effects of secret weapons directed against the American people and have been intimidated into silence, or possibly murdered. I myself have made no public statement on the matter until now, fourteen years from the time I heard this story (and more than twenty years after the initial fact). It seems clear to me that the military-industrial complex has secretly declared war on the American people quite some time ago, and is waging a long-term psychological warfare operation, complete with fully operational, mass mind-control technology.

Lastly, I don't say these things so that people will be afraid. The

good news is that these cockroaches don't feel strong enough to be caught out in the light. However, should any of your readers be feeling depressed, anxious or nauseous, before calling a doctor try taking down your TV antenna.

Sincerely,

Mark M., Hollywood, CA, USA.

Re: Clark's Cancer Cure

Dear Duncan: In the Dec. 1994-Jan. 1995 issue of *NEXUS* I read with some interest the review or Dr Hulda Clark's book, "The Cure for All Cancers".

Not long after, I found out that my 86-year-old uncle had a melanoma on the liver and was given six months to live. He could not be operated on and they gave him radiation treatment to the hips as the cancer had spread to the bones. He became anaemic and the radiation gave him bowel problems. We fixed the diarrhoea with plain old cornflour. By the time I was able to go to Sydney he had been placed in a palliative care hospital.

I took Hulda's book and the appropriate wormwood and walnut with me, and went to Sydney to get him back to his home. I followed Hulda's instructions to the letter (including powdering and capsulising the cloves), and fifteen days into the programme we had to visit the professor who gave him the diagnosis and life-span estimate. The prof. was astounded to find that the liver had shrunk. My uncle has other problems such as heart condition, but the cancer has been beaten.

I noted that for the last two years or so my uncle had been rubbing "Isocol" into his face after shaving, and on his arms as an anti-bacterial. "Isocol" has 70% by volume of isopropyl alcohol content. Hulda says that people with isopropyl alcohol in their systems will develop cancer of the liver. Rubbing anything into your skin causes it to be absorbed into your system as the skin is the body's largest organ and is highly absorbent.

You cannot win them all. I have been flying professionally for 30 years and believe me the story on "The Hollow Earth Theory Patent" was laughable. Anybody knowing

... more Letters to the Editor

NB: Please keep letters to
approx 100-150 words in
length. Ed.

anything about magnetism, compasses, gyroscopes and global navigation knows why a compass needle 'dips' as it gets closer to either of the poles. To put it simply, the hollow Earth theory is the greatest load of codswallop I have ever read, and can be proven to be so.

I realise that you publish this material without necessarily backing it yourself. A wise move. I was astounded to hear some friends, whom I thought to be reasonably intelligent, postulating the hollow Earth garbage some years ago. I have still yet to understand how supposedly sane people can simply believe and spread this totally ludicrous claptrap.

Yours faithfully,

Len C., Edge Hill, Queensland, Australia.

(Dear Len: Thanks for your letter, but please note there are also a lot of well-educated people who think Hulda's research is "totally ludicrous claptrap" too. Ed.)

Re: Fractured Banking System

Dear Sir: The social responsibility of banking will never be addressed until the worldwide practice of Fractional Reserve Banking is confronted.

What is FRB? Fractional Reserve Banking simply means that a bank is legally permitted to create new credit which is a multiple of the fixed deposits and savings with which it is entrusted. Bank deposits are never the source of loans granted by banks; rather, they become the bank's "Reserve Capital". Note the assumed change of ownership.

Unrestrained FRB caused great financial distress in the United States during the mid-nineteenth century. Congress declared it illegal to lend newly created bank credit at ratios exceeding ten to one, but in 1913 the Reserve Banking system was established and took control under a constitutional amendment that was not ratified by a majority of states. A World War hid this small omission.

Under normal restraints a bank can safely lend newly created credit of ten times the value of deposits held in trust. This is because people seldom wish to withdraw all their deposits at

once. Banks pay interest to encourage people to deposit money with them. Higher rates encourage greater deposits. Savings create the bank's power base.

When a bank pays 5% per annum on \$1,000, it only has to pay \$50 for the privilege of legally issuing \$10,000 worth of new bank credit. This new credit costs the bank very little, but they feel no moral obligation to charge a reasonable 1% which would return a profit of 100% each year on the \$50 outlay.

To their great shame, the banks have stolen the wealth of nations by charging 10% for 10 units of credit against each unit of deposited funds, taking 1900% profit! This is a violation of community trust and social justice. After the banks coerced parliament into deregulating banking, they were worse than sharks in a feeding frenzy.

Late 1994 I was amazed to hear a Reserve Bank spokesman proclaim on national television that the lending ratio in Australia was 25 to one! The banks still pay \$50 for our \$1,000 deposit, but now charge our farmers at least 10% for \$25,000 of newly created national credit.

Banks now 'earn' \$2,500 for their \$50 outlay, but when foreclosing they demand over \$7,500 p.a. Because banking methods are covert, local bank managers seldom realise the villainous nature of bank usury. It would appear that few have the intelligence to understand the true nature of this fraudulent industry. When farmers cannot pay extortionate interest, their rates are increased to over 30% in order to bankrupt them and steal their assets. Australian courts uphold the bank's criminal actions.

Banks are essentially clerical establishments with safe deposit facilities. They sow not, but through usury reap wealth and power far in excess of their value to the community. They are voracious and insatiable predators.

An historical study of The Commonwealth Bank of Australia will show the benefits of a bank under parliamentary control. Alien attack is averted and usury is avoided. Abraham Lincoln beat

the foreign bankers when he issued the "greenback". Every country should issue its own money and maintain tight control over its bank of issue.

This is oversimplified because the privately controlled Reserve Banking system takes control of typically 6.5% of all deposits, which it claims for its own use. There are many examples of bank treachery and fraud. Some of the best-known bank officials have blown the whistle, but so powerful is bank propaganda that it muffles all protest with media blankets.

FRB is a most beneficial tool when used with restraint to encourage national development, but it is an incredibly destructive financial weapon when used without discretion by uncontrolled and unethical bankers.

I am only an ordinary citizen and find these facts almost beyond belief. Would you please check them and tell me if I am wrong?

With respect,

Lindsay O., Wooli, NSW, Australia.

Re: NWO not OK in NZ

Dear Sir: I have been subscribing to NEXUS Magazine for almost a year and find it a great forum for those things which are out there beyond where the 'official explanations' stop.

It's a busy time for the NWO in New Zealand at the present with the following 'projects' well under way:

1) Currently a bill is before Parliament which (inter alia) will make it illegal for journalists to report details of sales of New Zealand land to foreigners.

2) Moves are afoot to remove the right of silence of accused persons because, to paraphrase a senior police officer, too many "guilty" people are being acquitted. There are also judges who support this view. Whatever happened to those quaint notions of presumed innocence and due process of law?

3) Expect to see compulsory DNA testing introduced in the near future for those "suspected of serious offences", although what is deemed "serious" has yet to be decided. Also, there is doubt as to whether one need be formally arrested before being compelled

(by force if necessary) to provide a sample.

And, I like this one. There is going to be provision for people to 'voluntarily' donate their DNA to the national databank. The police are afraid their databank will be insufficient with suspect-only samples. Oh, and while suspects are later cleared, their DNA profiles will remain on the database indefinitely.

4) This concerns Australians as well as New Zealanders. According to a recent news item on national radio, our two countries have been chosen by the banks as the ideal social laboratory to monitor the introduction of 'smart cards' as part of the move to the Cashless Society.

Be seeing you...

Yours faithfully,

J. R., Napier, New Zealand.

Re: Microwave 'Kooking'

Dear Duncan: Thanks for the many top articles that appear in NEXUS. In the April-May issue, microwave cooking got its turn, and I would just like to make a few comments if I may.

Those of us with 'a modicum of common sense' are contemplating what to do with an oven that we don't want to cook with any more. Well, a neighbour of mine found that his microwave was handy for drying out green timber for wood turning.

Responsibly, though, we should be considering a 'final solution' for our microwave ovens. No, I don't mean selling the things! (They would be sure to get into the wrong hands.) I was thinking more along the line of a relatively simple 'modification' to render the microwaves harmless.

Perhaps a reader of this column can come up with a sure-fire method of getting these machines to self-terminate. Something spectacular, yet safe. Mega indignation for the microwave, if you like.

Yes, I can see it now. Whole communities dragging their microwaves out onto the streets. Sparks, smoke, countdowns, people getting together again, united in the cause, yeah!

Bruce H., Clunes, Victoria, Australia.